

John White Sr.

against

Samuel H. Barham

1830

De fa. p.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant twenty one dollars twenty five cents the debt on the declaration mentioned with legal interest thence from the 15th day of March 1826 till paid and these costs by them about three shillings on the bill of expence. And the said defendant in Henry J.

 Deff } In debt
 Deff }

Jas. B. Cropper

against

Charles A. Daughtery

1830

De fa. p.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant fifty one dollar and twelve cents the debt on the declaration mentioned with legal interest thence from the 15th day of October 1826 till paid and his costs by him about his debt on the bill of expence. And the said defendant in Henry J. This judgment is to be credited for \$12.50 per exp. &c.

 Deff } In debt
 Deff }

Henry Moore administrator of John Hemmings de'

against

William L. Crockett

1829

De fa. p.

The defendant having been duly warned and not appearing on the return of the plaintiff's attorney it is considered that the plaintiff may have execution against the Defendant for twenty two dollars and eight cents with interest thence from the 15th day of July 1829 till paid the debt and interest and \$6.77 costs the costs on the bill of expence specified and also that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant his costs by him expended in suing forth and prosecuting the writ.

 Deff } In debt
 Deff }

William Hunter

against

Elizabeth Ogner executrix of Thomas Ogner de'

1823

De fa. p.

The judgment obtained at the Rules not having been set aside and the plaintiff being entitled to a final judgment it is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover against the Defendant thirty dollars and eighty two cents the debt on the declaration mentioned with legal interest thence from the 15th day of January 1823 till paid and his costs by him about his debt on the bill of expence. And the said Defendant in Henry J.

 Deff } In debt
 Deff }

John J. Moody

against

E. E. Murfee

Arthur A. Downy agent of Richard Wells

against

Affred Woodhouse and Saml Wells

The dispositive of these causes at the Rules not having been set aside and the parties not having been set aside.

 Deff } In debt
 Deff }

 Deff } In debt
 Deff }

 Deff } In debt
 Deff }